

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/644,766	08/21/2003	Koji Ono	2003_1185	7081	
513 7:	590 06/07/2005		EXAMINER		
WENDEROT	H, LIND & PONACK, I	L.L.P.	ACKUN, JACOB K		
2033 K STREE SUITE 800	ET N. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 20006-1021		3723		
				_	

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N	<b>₩</b>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,766	ONO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob K. Ackun Jr.	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	<u> March 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8 and 18-21</u> is/a	re withdrawn from considera	ition.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,9-17 and 22-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur	*		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	(08) 5) Notice of	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/644,766 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-4, 9-17, 23-27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendments to claims 1 and 11 are not supported by the specification as originally filed and therefore constitute New Matter.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 9-17 and 22-30 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moore. Moore teaches the use of various numbers and types of sensors positioned

Art Unit: 3723

as recited in the claims. Moore specifically teaches that the various described embodiments can also be useful for detecting a wafer break or slip (failure as disclosed in the instant application) during polishing. The applicants argument that Moore is concerned with detecting failure of the polishing apparatus is acknowledged. However, it is undeniable that Moore also teaches the detection of wafer failure as noted above through the use of the noted sensors, as applicant appears to acknowledge. The fact that applicant does not see how Moore accomplishes the task does not appear to be relevant to the question of what Moore teaches. With regard to claims 1 and 11 note also the rejections for New Matter. With regard to claim 15 the Moore inventions are considered to be inherently capable of detecting failure inside or outside the substrate holding member as set forth in the statements of intended use in the claim.

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed on 04/08/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The prior art of record indicates that applicants are not the first to detect failure of a substrate in a cmp process, as the claims at bar and the applicants arguments would appear to suggest. Additionally note the newly cited prior art.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/644,766 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob K. Ackun Jr. whose telephone number is (571)272-4418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8.30AM-5.00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571)272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacob K. Ackun Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723